# Biofilm Formation, Cellulose Production, and Curli Biosynthesis by *Salmonella* Originating from Produce, Animal, and Clinical Sources<sup>†</sup>

ETHAN B. SOLOMON, BRENDAN A. NIEMIRA, GERALD M. SAPERS, AND BASSAM A. ANNOUS\*

Food Safety Intervention Technologies Research Unit, U.S. Department of Agriculture, Agricultural Research Service, Eastern Regional Research Center, 600 East Mermaid Lane, Wyndmoor, Pennsylvania 19038-8598, USA

MS 04-477: Received 12 October 2004/Accepted 10 January 2005

#### **ABSTRACT**

The ability of 71 strains of *Salmonella enterica* originating from produce, meat, or clinical sources to form biofilms was investigated. A crystal violet binding assay demonstrated no significant differences in biofilm formation by isolates from any source when tested in any of the following three media: Luria-Bertani broth supplemented with 2% glucose, tryptic soy broth (TSB), or 1/20th-strength TSB. Incubation was overnight at 30°C under static conditions. Curli production and cellulose production were monitored by assessing morphotypes on Luria-Bertani agar without salt containing Congo red and by assessing fluorescence on Luria-Bertani agar containing calcofluor, respectively. One hundred percent of the clinical isolates exhibited curli biosynthesis, and 73% demonstrated cellulose production. All meat-related isolates formed curli, and 84% produced cellulose. A total of 80% of produce-related isolates produced curli, but only 52% produced cellulose. Crystal violet binding was not statistically different between isolates representing the three morphotypes when grown in TSB; however, significant differences were observed when strains were cultured in the two other media tested. These data demonstrate that the ability to form biofilms is not dependent on the source of the test isolate and suggest a relationship between crystal violet binding and morphotype, with curli- and cellulose-deficient isolates being least effective in biofilm formation.

Foodborne salmonellosis is responsible for an estimated 1.3 million illnesses and 553 deaths per year in the United States (16). While meat, poultry, and eggs remain the leading vehicles for outbreaks, Salmonella has been isolated with increasing frequency from fresh produce in recent years (3). A number of studies have demonstrated the ability of Salmonella to attach and form biofilms on a wide variety of food contact surfaces, including metal, plastic, and rubber (14, 26, 31). Sanitizers tested in our laboratory have proven incapable of inactivating Salmonella inoculated onto cantaloupe, especially when the organism was allowed to reside on the melon surface for more than 48 h (33). In addition, the attachment strength of Salmonella on cantaloupe surfaces increased with increased contact time (32). These results led to the hypothesis that salmonellae inoculated onto melon surfaces rapidly form biofilms. Scanning electron microscopy demonstrated biofilm formation by Salmonella Poona RM 2350 on cantaloupe rind tissue after just 24 h of storage (1). The entrapment of cells of Salmonella within a biofilm is likely responsible for enhanced sanitizer resistance and increased attachment strength.

A biofilm is generally defined as "a structured community of bacterial cells enclosed in a self-produced polymeric matrix and adherent to an inert or living surface" (6).

The presence of native microbial biofilms has been visualized on a wide variety of plant surfaces, including endive, parsley, spinach, basil, leeks, and a variety of sprouts (10, 11, 20, 21). The formation of biofilms by bacteria on plants likely improves the ability of these organisms to colonize and survive the harsh environment of the phyllosphere (19).

Biofilm formation by Salmonella has only recently been investigated. Early work documented the production of thin aggregative fimbriae (curli) by virulent strains (25), but a conclusive role for these fibers was not elucidated. The production of curli was later found to be an important component in the formation of an extracellular matrix by cells of Salmonella (24). Recently, a second component of this matrix was found to be cellulose (22, 34). The production of cellulose and curli by Salmonella leads to a matrix of tightly packed cells covered in a hydrophobic network. This network of material is important in biofilm formation as well as in its persistence on various surfaces (5, 27). While in vivo studies have shown that the production of cellulose may not be involved in the virulence of Salmonella Enteritidis, cellulose-deficient mutants were less persistent and more susceptible to chlorine treatments in solution (27). These results indicate that cellulose and curli may play a role in the survival and resistance of salmonellae in the food environment.

The recent increase in produce-related outbreaks of salmonellosis, along with the indication that *Salmonella* readily forms biofilms on cantaloupe surfaces (1), gives rise to the hypothesis that perhaps produce-related isolates are able to survive in the harsh phyllosphere environment by exhib-

<sup>\*</sup> Author for correspondence. Tel: 215-233-6797; Fax: 215-233-6406; E-mail: bannous@errc.ars.usda.gov.

<sup>†</sup> Mention of brand or firm names does not constitute an endorsement by the U.S. Department of Agriculture over others of a similar nature not mentioned.

TABLE 1. Biofilm formation by clinical isolates of Salmonella

Salmonella serotypes	LB + 2% glucose	TSB	¹/ <sub>20</sub> -TSB	Morphotype on Congo red <sup>b</sup>	Fluorescence on LB + calcofluor <sup>c</sup>
Branderup H0663	$0.153 \pm 0.045$	$0.568 \pm 0.039$	$0.473 \pm 0.024$	rdar	+
Enteritidis H3502	$0.015 \pm 0.003$	$0.510 \pm 0.027$	$0.680 \pm 0.034$	rdar	+
Enteritidis H3526	$0.038 \pm 0.005$	$0.370 \pm 0.059$	$0.382 \pm 0.037$	bdar	_
Enteritidis H3527	$0.08 \pm 0.009$	$0.181 \pm 0.029$	$0.281 \pm 0.038$	rdar	+
Enteritidis H4386	$0.092 \pm 0.022$	$0.401 \pm 0.062$	$0.423 \pm 0.041$	rdar	+
Hadar 110-96	$0.161 \pm 0.013$	$0.361 \pm 0.026$	$0.602 \pm 0.027$	bdar	_
Newport H1073	$0.07 \pm 0.008$	$0.589 \pm 0.026$	$0.372 \pm 0.016$	bdar	_
Thompson H2464	$0.09 \pm 0.012$	$0.625 \pm 0.028$	$0.702 \pm 0.028$	rdar	+
Typhimurium H3379	$0.091 \pm 0.016$	$0.309 \pm 0.037$	$0.177 \pm 0.008$	bdar	_
Typhimurium G7601	$0.031 \pm 0.005$	$0.229 \pm 0.028$	$0.659 \pm 0.067$	rdar	+
Typhimurium G8430	$0.019 \pm 0.003$	$0.407 \pm 0.062$	$0.491 \pm 0.049$	rdar	+
Typhimurium H2662	$0.009 \pm 0.002$	$0.385 \pm 0.053$	$0.571 \pm 0.056$	rdar	+
Typhimurium H3278	$0.046 \pm 0.006$	$0.250 \pm 0.035$	$0.727 \pm 0.077$	rdar	+
Typhimurium H3380	$0.027 \pm 0.003$	$0.154 \pm 0.023$	$0.527 \pm 0.069$	rdar	+
Typhimurium H3402	$0.038 \pm 0.007$	$0.262 \pm 0.032$	$0.965 \pm 0.100$	rdar	+

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Average OD (590 nm) ± standard error from two separate experiments.

iting enhanced biofilm formation. Furthermore, curli and cellulose production by produce-related *Salmonella* has not been investigated. Therefore, the objectives of our study were to (i) determine whether produce-related strains exhibited enhanced biofilm formation in vitro compared to meat-related and clinical isolates, and (ii) screen our collection for cellulose and curli production.

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

**Bacteria.** Salmonella enterica serovar Enteritidis 3934, 942, 1170/97, and 1162/97 were obtained from Dr. Carlos Gamazo (Department of Microbiology, University of Navarra, Spain). These isolates were used as comparison strains, as their cellulose and curli production has already been documented (28). All other isolates were from the U.S. Department of Agriculture–Agricultural Research Service–Eastern Regional Research Center culture collection. A total of 15 clinical isolates (Table 1), 25 produce isolates (Table 2), and 31 meat isolates (Table 3) were used in this study. Stocks were stored in tryptic soy broth (TSB; BBL/Becton Dickinson, Sparks, Md.) containing 30% glycerol at  $-80^{\circ}$ C. Working cultures were maintained on tryptic soy agar (BBL/Becton Dickinson) slants at  $4^{\circ}$ C.

Quantification of biofilm formation. Biofilm formation was quantitated in Luria-Bertani (LB) broth supplemented with 2% glucose, TSB, and 1/20th-strength TSB ( $\frac{1}{20}$ -TSB) as previously described (17), with the addition of a fixation step (80°C for 30 min) prior to staining with crystal violet. Heat fixation has been used in crystal violet assays to measure biofilm formation, and we found that it provided more reproducible results (12). Briefly, cells were cultured overnight in the appropriate medium and then diluted (1:10) and inoculated into 96-well polystyrene microtiter plates (Becton Dickinson, Franklin Lakes, N.J.). Plates were incubated overnight at 30°C under static conditions, and biofilm formation was quantitated. Crystal violet–stained biofilms were solubilized in 95% ethanol, and optical densities (ODs) were read at 590 nm in a microplate reader (HTS 7000 Plus Bio Assay

Reader, Perkin Elmer, Norwalk, Conn.). Experiments were performed in duplicate and repeated twice.

Cellulose and curli production. The production of cellulose and curli was determined as described previously (23, 27). The production of curli was characterized using LB (BBL/Becton Dickinson) agar without salt supplemented with 40 mg of Congo red per liter (Sigma, St. Louis, Mo.) and 20 mg of brilliant blue per liter (Sigma). Isolates were streaked onto Congo red plates and incubated for 48 h at 28°C before determining morphotypes by comparing them to control strains. Isolates were grouped into three distinct morphotypes: (i) red, dry, and rough, indicating curli and cellulose production (rdar); (ii) brown, dry, and rough, indicating curli production but a lack of cellulose synthesis (bdar); and (iii) smooth and white, indicating a lack of both curli and cellulose production (saw). Cellulose production was characterized by streaking isolates onto LB plates containing 200 mg of calcofluor (fluorescent brightener 28, Sigma) per liter and incubating at room temperature for 48 h. Cellulose production was judged by comparing the fluorescence of the test strains to that of the control strains under UV light (366 nm). All isolates were screened in duplicate and repeated twice.

**Statistical analysis.** The absorbance data for each individual observation were scaled against the values obtained for noninoculated control wells of the respective 96-well plates. Resulting negative values were set to zero. For each of the three culture methods, the data from the multiple replicates were pooled, and the mean values were calculated for each isolate. To identify a relationship between the source of the isolate and the degree of crystal violet binding, the isolates were grouped as clinical, meat, and produce. The data for the groups were pooled and analyzed by analysis of variance (ANOVA) (P < 0.05; SigmaStat 2.03, SPSS, Inc., Chicago, Ill.) for the effect of (i) isolate source and (ii) culture method. Occurrence of the various morphotypes (or fluorescence on LB plus calcofluor plates) among the three isolate groups was compared using chi-square analysis (SigmaStat). The

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup> rdar, red, dry, and rough morphotype indicating curli and cellulose production; bdar, brown, dry, and rough morphotype indicating curli production but lack of cellulose synthesis.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>c</sup> Under long-wave UV (366 nm).

908 SOLOMON ET AL. J. Food Prot., Vol. 68, No. 5

TABLE 2. Biofilm formation by produce-related isolates of Salmonella

		Biofilm formation <sup>a</sup>				
Salmonella serotypes	Food source <sup>b</sup>	LB + 2% glucose	TSB	½0-TSB	Morphotype on Congo red <sup>c</sup>	Fluorescence on LB + calcofluor <sup>d</sup>
Anatum F4317	Sprout outbreak	$0.105 \pm 0.012^a$	$0.566 \pm 0.067$	$0.796 \pm 0.074$	rdar	+
Baildon 61-99	Tomato outbreak	$0.018 \pm 0.004$	$0.000 \pm 0.000$	$0.087 \pm 0.010$	saw	_
Bredeney 3VIPHE	Alfalfa seeds	$0.103 \pm 0.012$	$0.573 \pm 0.062$	$0.497 \pm 0.046$	bdar	_
Entertidis 15159	Orange juice outbreak	$0.035 \pm 0.005$	$0.405 \pm 0.056$	$0.449 \pm 0.048$	saw	_
Gaminara 02-615	Cantaloupe	$0.181 \pm 0.028$	$0.327 \pm 0.034$	$0.187 \pm 0.019$	bdar	_
Gaminara F2712	Orange juice	$0.143 \pm 0.014$	$1.242 \pm 0.112$	$0.073 \pm 0.012$	bdar	_
Hidalgo 02-517-2	Cantaloupe	$0.080 \pm 0.013$	$0.126 \pm 0.027$	$0.265 \pm 0.027$	rdar	+
Infantis F4319	Sprout outbreak	$0.027 \pm 0.005$	$0.653 \pm 0.065$	$0.936 \pm 0.089$	rdar	+
Mbandaka 00-916-1	Cantaloupe	$0.056 \pm 0.007$	$0.341 \pm 0.035$	$0.714 \pm 0.067$	bdar	_
Mbandaka RV1DHE	Alfalfa seeds	$0.052 \pm 0.006$	$0.455 \pm 0.070$	$0.382 \pm 0.037$	bdar	_
Michigan	Cantaloupe outbreak	$0.622 \pm 0.064$	$1.259 \pm 0.113$	$0.561 \pm 0.056$	rdar	+
Montevideo G4639	Tomato outbreak	$0.053 \pm 0.007$	$0.820 \pm 0.077$	$0.660 \pm 0.075$	rdar	+
Muenchen HERV2C	Alfalfa seeds	$0.040 \pm 0.005$	$0.102 \pm 0.014$	$0.705 \pm 0.067$	rdar	+
Newport 02-216	Cantaloupe	$0.033 \pm 0.007$	$0.107 \pm 0.013$	$0.494 \pm 0.052$	rdar	+
Newport H1275	Sprout outbreak	$0.485 \pm 0.049$	$1.180 \pm 0.107$	$0.359 \pm 0.037$	rdar	+
Oranienburg 389	Cantaloupe	$0.072 \pm 0.009$	$0.481 \pm 0.057$	$0.629 \pm 0.060$	rdar	+
Poona 348	Cantaloupe	$0.152 \pm 0.016$	$0.802 \pm 0.084$	$0.356 \pm 0.038$	rdar	+
Poona G-91-1574	Cantaloupe outbreak	$0.041 \pm 0.009$	$0.615 \pm 0.091$	$0.128 \pm 0.014$	saw	_
Poona PTVS1	Cantaloupe outbreak	$0.046 \pm 0.006$	$0.203 \pm 0.024$	$0.387 \pm 0.041$	rdar	+
Poona RM2350	Cantaloupe outbreak	$0.1 \pm 0.013$	$0.518 \pm 0.081$	$0.273 \pm 0.034$	bdar	_
Saint Paul 02-517-1	Cantaloupe	$0.075 \pm 0.009$	$0.466 \pm 0.056$	$0.609 \pm 0.062$	rdar	+
Saphra 97A3312	Cantaloupe outbreak	$0.016 \pm 0.003$	$0.270 \pm 0.039$	$0.053 \pm 0.008$	saw	_
Stanley HO558	Sprout outbreak	$0.018 \pm 0.004$	$0.593 \pm 0.059$	$0.366 \pm 0.035$	saw	_
Typhimurium 45	Cantaloupe	$0.169 \pm 0.030$	$0.843 \pm 0.086$	$0.369 \pm 0.043$	rdar	_
Worthington TX3-1	Alfalfa seeds	$0.064 \pm 0.007$	$0.168 \pm 0.045$	$0.969 \pm 0.090$	rdar	+

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Average OD (590 nm) ± standard error from two separate experiments.

absorbance data were collated on the basis of morphotype and fluorescence and compared using ANOVA.

### **RESULTS**

Results demonstrate that all *Salmonella* isolates produced significant amounts of biofilm when cultivated in the appropriate medium. Mean biofilm formation in each of the three media tested is shown in Tables 1 through 3. Biofilm formation in LB supplemented with 2% glucose was minimal compared to that in TSB or  $\frac{1}{20}$ -TSB (as indicated by the large differences in OD values), indicating that biofilm formation was greatly enhanced in both TSB and  $\frac{1}{20}$ -TSB. Within the isolate groups, only clinical isolates bound significantly more crystal violet in  $\frac{1}{20}$ -TSB than in standard TSB (Table 4). Meat- and produce-related strains had similar ODs, regardless of whether the assay was carried out using TSB or  $\frac{1}{20}$ -TSB.

In assessing biofilm formation by the strains from the three sources, no differences could be detected between isolates when compared using similar media. For example, there was no statistical difference in crystal violet binding between clinical, meat-related, and produce-related strains when all three were tested in  $\frac{1}{20}$ -TSB.

The formation of curli and cellulose was assessed on

Congo red plates. Morphotypes were judged by comparing test strains to control strains as follows. Salmonella Enteritidis 3934 produces both curli and cellulose and expresses the rdar morphotype. Salmonella Enteritidis 942 produces curli but no cellulose and expresses the bdar morphotype. Salmonella Enteritidis 1162/97 and 1170/97 produces neither curli nor cellulose and results in the saw morphotype. Morphotypes of the strains tested in this study are presented in Tables 1 through 3. The rdar morphotype was the most prevalent from all three sources, and the distribution of morphotypes was significantly different among the three isolate groups (chi-square P = 0.004; Table 5). Interestingly, the saw morphotype was detected only among producerelated isolates. A total of 73, 84, and 56% of clinical, meat-, and produce-related isolates, respectively, produced both curli and cellulose. The bdar morphotype was more prevalent (27 and 24%) in the clinical and produce-related strains than in the meat-related (16%) strains (Table 5).

Cellulose production was further tested by streaking isolates onto LB plates supplemented with calcofluor. Results from these plates were in agreement with results from the Congo red plates, except for produce isolate *Salmonella* Typhimurium 45 (Table 2). All other isolates that were bdar

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup> All isolates associated with produce outbreaks were clinical isolates.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>c</sup> rdar, red, dry, and rough morphotype indicating curli and cellulose production; saw, smooth and white morphotype indicating lack of curli or cellulose production; bdar, brown, dry, and rough morphotype indicating curli production but lack of cellulose synthesis.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>d</sup> Under long-wave UV (366 nm).

TABLE 3. Biofilm formation by meat-related isolates of Salmonella

			Biofilm formation <sup>a</sup>			El
Salmonella serotypes	Food source	LB + 2% glucose	TSB	½0-TSB	Morphotype on Congo red <sup>b</sup>	Fluorescence on LB + calcofluor <sup>c</sup>
Anatum 313	Ground beef	$0.077 \pm 0.011^a$	$0.612 \pm 0.051$	$0.510 \pm 0.066$	rdar <sup>b</sup>	+
Derby 5131	Pork	$0.070 \pm 0.006$	$0.037 \pm 0.009$	$0.203 \pm 0.009$	rdar	+
Kentucky 074	Beef	$0.059 \pm 0.011$	$0.241 \pm 0.044$	$0.400 \pm 0.014$	bdar <sup>c</sup>	_
Montevideo 051	Beef	$0.404 \pm 0.059$	$0.504 \pm 0.051$	$0.525 \pm 0.015$	bdar	_
Poona 418	Octopus	$0.114 \pm 0.013$	$1.152 \pm 0.107$	$0.223 \pm 0.023$	rdar	+
Poona 953	Ovine meat	$0.061 \pm 0.008$	$0.321 \pm 0.054$	$0.584 \pm 0.067$	rdar	+
Saint Paul 5130	Pork	$0.094 \pm 0.006$	$0.146 \pm 0.019$	$0.362 \pm 0.043$	rdar	+
Saint Paul FSIS 039	Beef	$0.025 \pm 0.004$	$0.392 \pm 0.036$	$0.408 \pm 0.053$	rdar	+
Typhimurium 026	Beef	$0.093 \pm 0.009$	$0.838 \pm 0.078$	$0.893 \pm 0.045$	rdar	+
Typhimurium 453 Typhimurium Copen-	Ground beef	$0.010 \pm 0.002$	$0.245 \pm 0.022$	$0.293 \pm 0.040$	rdar	+
hagen 8457	Pork	$0.312 \pm 0.048$	$0.510 \pm 0.045$	$0.411 \pm 0.020$	rdar	+
Typhimurium S-2380	Beef	$0.024 \pm 0.004$	$0.173 \pm 0.024$	$0.715 \pm 0.073$	rdar	+
Hadar 064	Chicken	$0.151 \pm 0.018$	$0.490 \pm 0.019$	$0.952 \pm 0.032$	bdar	_
Hadar MF 61777	Turkey	$0.102 \pm 0.006$	$0.858 \pm 0.056$	$0.670 \pm 0.020$	bdar	_
Heidelberg 258	Ground chicken	$0.007 \pm 0.002$	$0.221 \pm 0.030$	$0.293 \pm 0.036$	rdar	+
Heidelberg 293	Ground turkey	$0.021 \pm 0.003$	$0.145 \pm 0.023$	$0.254 \pm 0.026$	rdar	+
Heidelberg 475	Ground chicken	$0.002 \pm 0.001$	$0.674 \pm 0.076$	$0.117 \pm 0.018$	rdar	+
Kentucky 044	Chicken	$0.072 \pm 0.013$	$0.301 \pm 0.025$	$0.572 \pm 0.021$	bdar	_
Kentucky 479	Ground chicken	$0.016 \pm 0.003$	$0.596 \pm 0.072$	$0.241 \pm 0.029$	rdar	+
Muenster MF 59707	Turkey	$0.071 \pm 0.030$	$0.637 \pm 0.096$	$0.307 \pm 0.015$	rdar	+
Muenster MF 61976	Turkey	$0.117 \pm 0.002$	$0.358 \pm 0.018$	$0.438 \pm 0.015$	rdar	+
Newington 315	Ground chicken	$0.027 \pm 0.004$	$0.596 \pm 0.065$	$0.324 \pm 0.047$	rdar	+
Reading MF 58210	Turkey	$0.109 \pm 0.003$	$0.559 \pm 0.032$	$0.523 \pm 0.019$	rdar	+
Schwarzengrund 214	Ground turkey	$0.078 \pm 0.008$	$0.434 \pm 0.057$	$0.475 \pm 0.045$	rdar	+
Schwarzengrund 351	Ground turkey	$0.023 \pm 0.003$	$0.331 \pm 0.047$	$0.277 \pm 0.033$	rdar	+
Saint Paul 443	Ground turkey	$0.028 \pm 0.005$	$0.221 \pm 0.023$	$0.094 \pm 0.006$	rdar	+
Saint Paul 461	Ground turkey	$0.033 \pm 0.006$	$0.292 \pm 0.027$	$0.320 \pm 0.041$	rdar	+
Thompson 132	Chicken	$0.098 \pm 0.012$	$0.237 \pm 0.016$	$0.501 \pm 0.018$	rdar	+
Thompson 120	Chicken	$0.089 \pm 0.007$	$0.676 \pm 0.021$	$0.448 \pm 0.015$	rdar	+
Typhimurium 209	Ground chicken	$0.001 \pm 0.001$	$0.289 \pm 0.033$	$0.549 \pm 0.059$	rdar	+
Typhimurium 343	Ground chicken	$0.001 \pm 0.001$	$0.253 \pm 0.032$	$0.430 \pm 0.050$	rdar	+

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Average OD (590 nm) ± standard error from two separate experiments.

TABLE 4. Summary and comparison of biofilm formation by clinical, produce-related, and meat-related Salmonella

	Biofilm formation <sup>a,b</sup>		
Isolates	LB + 2% glucose	TSB	<sup>1</sup> / <sub>20</sub> -TSB
Clinical	$0.064~{\rm XA}^a$	0.373 ya	0.535 za
Meat-related	0.077  ya	0.430  za	0.429  za
Produce-related	0.111 ya	0.525  za	0.452  za

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> OD (590 nm).

or saw on Congo red plates were not fluorescent on LB plus calcofluor (Tables 1 through 3).

We attempted to assess whether there was any relationship between morphotype and crystal violet binding. Isolates were sorted by morphotype and then compared by OD values in the various media (Table 6). When grown in LB plus 2% glucose, bdar isolates were significantly higher in crystal violet binding than were rdar and saw isolates. No significant differences were observed between morphotypes when they were grown in TSB. The binding of crystal violet for bdar and rdar strains was statistically higher than that for saw isolates when grown in ½0-TSB. Note, however, that saw isolates produced the least amount of biofilm in each of the three media.

# DISCUSSION

We undertook this study to determine whether producerelated isolates exhibited enhanced biofilm-forming capa-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup> rdar, red, dry, and rough morphotype indicating curli and cellulose production; bdar, brown, dry, and rough morphotype indicating curli production but lack of cellulose synthesis.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>c</sup> Under long-wave UV (366 nm).

Within isolate group, letters (x, y, z) indicate significant differences among media (P < 0.05, ANOVA); within medium, letter (A) indicates no significant differences among isolate groups (P > 0.05, ANOVA).

910 SOLOMON ET AL. J. Food Prot., Vol. 68, No. 5

TABLE 5. Prevalence of Congo red morphotype and fluorescence on calcofluor plates sorted by isolate original

Morphotype prevalence				
Isolates	rdar	bdar	saw	Fluorescence on LB + calcofluor
Clinical	11/15 (73)	4/15 (27)	0/15	11/15 (73)
Meat-related	26/31 (84)	5/31 (16)	0/31	26/31 (84)
Produce-related	14/25 (56)	6/25 (24)	5/25 (20)	13/25 (52)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Values are number of isolates exhibiting morphotype/total isolates (percentage). Proportions of isolates displaying various morphotypes are significantly different (chi-square P = 0.004).

bilities, given our observations of biofilm formation by *Salmonella* on cantaloupe surfaces. Previous authors have demonstrated *Salmonella* biofilm formation on a variety of surfaces, but, to our knowledge, none have investigated whether this was influenced by the source of the test organism. We found isolates from all three sources to be strong biofilm formers. These findings are in agreement with previously published reports on the high capacity of salmonellae to form biofilms on plastic surfaces (26, 29, 30). Biofilm formation by produce-related isolates was not significantly higher than that by meat or clinical isolates when tested in LB plus 2% glucose, TSB, or ½0-TSB.

Biofilm formation has been shown to be an important determinant in the virulence of a number of pathogenic bacteria. Isolates of Enterococcus faecalis associated with endocarditis produced significantly more biofilm than did nonendocarditis isolates (18). Djordjevic et al. (8) observed that biofilm production of lineage I Listeria monocytogenes strains, which contain the majority of strains from clinical cases, was significantly higher than that of lineage II and III strains. L. monocytogenes strains that were persistent in food production plants also demonstrated enhanced adherence compared to nonpersistent isolates (15). Catheter-related outbreak strains of Staphylococcus epidermidis were distinguishable from nonoutbreak strains by their ability to produce biofilms on glass (9). All salmonellae tested in this study are able to form strong biofilms, regardless of whether they are clinical isolates, isolates from produce or meat, or related to outbreaks resulting from the consumption of contaminated produce or meat. Interestingly, when these Salmonella isolates were regrouped by moving the producerelated and meat-related strains arising from outbreaks to the list of clinical isolates, the ODs of the clinical isolates

TABLE 6. Relationship between isolate morphotypes and biofilm formation as assessed by the crystal violet binding assay

Mamba	Relationship between morphotype and OD				
Morpho- type	LB + 2% glucose	TSB	½0-TSB		
bdar	$0.119 A^a$	0.499 a	0.452 a		
rdar	0.083 в	0.445 A	0.486 a		
saw	0.026 в	0.377 A	0.217 в		

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Average OD (590 nm) of isolates of a given morphotype in the specified medium. Within the medium, letters (A, B) indicate no significant differences among isolate groups (P < 0.05, ANO-VA).

were significantly higher than those of the remaining meatand produce-related strains when tested in  $\frac{1}{20}$ -TSB (data not shown).

We found no differences in biofilm formation between clinical, meat-related, or produce-related isolates in any of our test media. ODs measured for the isolates in LB plus 2% glucose were similar to those reported for *Salmonella* Typhimurium after 18 h of incubation at 30°C (17). In addition, ODs measured in TSB were similar to those found by Stepanović et al. (30) for moderate-to-strong biofilm-forming strains. The observation that nutrient-limited media ( $\frac{1}{20}$ -TSB) was effective in promoting biofilm formation agrees with previously published reports (7, 31). Transcription of the csgD gene, which positively controls curli and cellulose production, has been shown to increase under nutrient-limiting conditions in Salmonella (13).

The morphotypes of *Salmonella* Enteritidis isolates from clinical and animal origins have been reported previously (27). Solano et al. (27) surveyed 63 clinical strains and found 76 and 24% exhibiting the rdar and saw morphotypes, respectively. Animal-related isolates exhibited the rdar morphotype at a rate of 71% (27). In contrast, approximately 73% of our clinical isolates and 84% of our meat-related isolates displayed the rdar morphotype. The rdar morphotype has been linked to increased virulence, and it is not surprising that most of the isolates in our collection display rdar (23).

Batch culture under static conditions has been shown to be conducive for the production of curli and cellulose (27). Both cell surface components have been demonstrated to be important in biofilm formation on abiotic surfaces; therefore, we hypothesized that rdar isolates might exhibit increased crystal violet binding (5, 27). When biofilm formation was measured in TSB, the display of the rdar morphotype did not correlate with increased crystal violet binding in the microtiter plate assay compared to the display of the bdar or saw morphotype. Bdar isolates displayed significantly higher crystal violet binding when tested in LB plus 2% glucose. Both rdar and bdar isolates exhibited significantly higher crystal violet binding than did saw strains in ½0-TSB. These data indicate that cell surface components other than curli and cellulose may influence biofilm formation and that the cell surface component(s) responsible may vary due to environmental conditions.

Our results indicate that the incidence of cellulose production and curli biosynthesis is different for isolates from

a variety of sources. Cellulose-deficient strains were found only among produce isolates. Barak et al. (2) found that *Salmonella* adhered more strongly to alfalfa sprouts than did *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 and postulated that this resulted from the lack of curli production by *E. coli* O157: H7. Eighty percent of the produce-related isolates produced curli. All of the produce-related strains that expressed the saw morphotype were from produce-related outbreaks and not from collected food samples. This may further underscore the importance of curli in attachment to produce surfaces.

The production of both cellulose and curli is important for the survival and persistence of Salmonella on surface environments (22, 23). Biofilm formation by human pathogens on fresh produce is not well characterized. While it is clear that Salmonella initiates biofilm formation after contacting produce surfaces (1, 4), the role of these biofilms in protecting the pathogen against aqueous sanitizers is not clear. The reduced efficacy of sanitizers on attached bacteria lends credence to the hypothesis that bacteria embedded within biofilms are more resistant (especially after 1 or more days of storage) to inactivation. Our results demonstrate that biofilm formation is not influenced by the origin of the test isolate. The role of biofilm formation, curli, and cellulose in establishing bacteria on the surface of fruits and vegetables must be characterized in order to put forth more effective postharvest intervention treatments.

# **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

The authors thank Carlos Gamazo of the University of Navarra (Spain) for providing control strains, William Fett, Pina Fratamico, and Vijay Juneja for access to their culture collections, and Peter Irwin for use of the microplate reader. The authors also thank Gabe Hoffman and Danielle Signorelli for technical assistance. This study was supported in part by the U.S. Department of Agriculture Integrated Research, Education, and Extension grant no. 2002-51110-01987 through a subcontract from Trevor Suslow, Department of Vegetable Crops, University of California, Davis.

#### REFERENCES

- Annous, B. A., A. Burke, and J. E. Sites. 2004. Surface pasteurization of whole fresh cantaloupes inoculated with Salmonella Poona or Escherichia coli. J. Food Prot. 67:1876–1885.
- Barak, J. D., L. C. Whitehand, and A. O. Charkowski. 2002. Differences in attachment of *Salmonella enterica* serovars and *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 to alfalfa sprouts. <u>Appl. Environ. Microbiol.</u> 68: 4758–4763.
- Beuchat, L. R. 2002. Ecological factors influencing survival and growth of human pathogens on raw fruits and vegetables. <u>Microb.</u> <u>Infect.</u> 4:413–423.
- Brandl, M. T., and R. E. Mandrell. 2002. Fitness of Salmonella enterica serovar Thompson in the cilantro phyllosphere. <u>Appl. Environ.</u> Microbiol. 68:3614–3621.
- Cookson, A. L., W. A. Cooley, and M. J. Woodward. 2002. The role of type 1 and curli fimbriae of Shiga toxin–producing *Escherichia coli* in adherence to abiotic surfaces. *Int. J. Med. Microbiol.* 292: 195–205.
- Costerton, J. W., P. S. Stewart, and E. P. Greenberg. 1999. Bacterial biofilms: a common cause of persistent infections. <u>Science</u> 284: 1318–1322.
- Dewanti, R., and A. C. Wong. 1995. Influence of culture conditions on biofilm formation by *Escherichia coli* O157:H7. *Int. J. Food Microbiol*. 26:147–164.
- 8. Djordjevic, D., M. Wiedmann, and L. A. McLandsborough. 2002.

- Microtiter plate assay for assessment of *Listeria monocytogenes* biofilm formation. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 68:2950–2958.
- Dunne, W. M. 2002. Bacterial adhesion: seen any good biofilms lately? Clin. Microbiol. Rev. 15:155–166.
- Fett, W. F. 2000. Naturally occurring biofilms on alfalfa and other types of sprouts. J. Food Prot. 63:625–632.
- Fett, W. F., and P. H. Cooke. 2003. Scanning electron microscopy of native biofilms on mung bean sprouts. Can. J. Microbiol. 49:45–50.
- Genevaux, P., S. Muller, and P. Bauda. 1996. A rapid screening procedure to identify mini-Tn10 insertion mutants of *Escherichia coli* K-12 with altered adhesion properties. *FEMS Microbiol. Lett.* 142: 27–30
- Gerstel, U., and U. Romling. 2003. The csgD promoter, a control unit for biofilm formation in <u>Salmonella typhimurium</u>. Res. Microbiol. 154:659–667.
- Joseph, B., S. K. Otta, I. Karunasagar, and I. Karunasagar. 2001. Biofilm formation by Salmonella spp. on food contact surfaces and their sensitivity to sanitizers. Int. J. Food. Microbiol. 64:367–372.
- Lunden, J. M., M. K. Miettinen, T. J. Autio, and H. J. Korkeala. 2000. Persistent *Listeria monocytogenes* strains show enhanced adherence to food contact surface after short contact times. <u>J. Food Prot.</u> 63:1204–1207.
- Mead, P. S., L. Slutsker, V. Dietz, L. F. McCaig, J. S. Bresee, C. Shapiro, P. M. Griffin, and R. V. Tauxe. 1999. Food-related illness and death in the United States. *Emerg. Infect. Dis.* 5:607–625.
- Mireles, J. R., II, A. Toguchi, and R. M. Harshey. 2001. Salmonella enterica serovar Typhimurium swarming mutants with altered biofilm-forming abilities: surfactin inhibits biofilm formation. J. Bacteriol. 183:5848–5854.
- Mohamed, J. A., W. Huang, S. R. Nallapareddy, F. Teng, and B. E. Murray. 2004. Influence of origin of isolates, especially endocarditis isolates, and various genes on biofilm formation by <u>Enterococcus</u> faecalis. Infect. Immun. 72:3658–3663.
- Monier, J. M., and S. E. Lindow. 2003. Differential survival of solitary and aggregated bacterial cells promotes aggregate formation on leaf surfaces. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 100:15977–15982.
- Morris, C. E., J.-M. Monier, and M.-A. Jacques. 1997. Methods for observing microbial biofilms directly on leaf surfaces and recovering them for isolation of culturable microorganisms. <u>Appl. Environ. Microbiol.</u> 63:1570–1576.
- Morris, C. E., J.-M. Monier, and M.-A. Jacques. 1998. A technique to quantify the population size and composition of the biofilm component in communities of bacteria in the phyllosphere. *Appl. Envi*ron. *Microbiol.* 64:4789–4795.
- Römling, U. 2002. Molecular biology of cellulose production in bacteria. Res. Microbiol. 153:205–212.
- Römling, U., W. Bokranz, W. Rabsch, X. Zogaj, M. Nimtz, and H. Tschäpe. 2003. Occurrence and regulation of the multicellular morphotype in *Salmonella* serovars important in human disease. *Int. J. Med. Microbiol.* 293:273–285.
- Römling, U., M. Rohde, A. Olsen, S. Normark, and J. Reinkoster. 2000. AgfD, the checkpoint of multicellular and aggregative behaviour in *Salmonella typhimurium* regulates at least two independent pathways. *Mol. Microbiol.* 36:10–23.
- Römling, U., W. D. Sierralta, K. Eriksson, and S. Normark. 1998.
   Multicellular and aggregative behaviour of *Salmonella typhimurium* strains is controlled by mutations in the *agfD* promoter. *Mol. Microbiol.* 28:249–264.
- Sinde, E., and J. Carballo. 2000. Attachment of Salmonella spp. and Listeria monocytogenes to stainless steel, rubber and polytetrafluorethylene: the influence of free energy and the effect of commercial sanitizers. Food Microbiol. 17:439–447.
- Solano, C., B. Garcia, J. Valle, C. Berasain, J.-M. Ghigo, C. Gamazo, and I. Lasa. 2002. Genetic analysis of *Salmonella enteritidis* biofilm formation: critical role of cellulose. *Mol. Microbiol.* 43:793–808.
- Solano, C., B. Sesma, M. Alvarez, T. J. Humphrey, C. J. Thorns, and C. Gamazo. 1998. Discrimination of strains of *Salmonella enteritidis* with differing levels of virulence by an in vitro glass adherence test. *J. Clin. Microbiol.* 36:674–678.

912 SOLOMON ET AL. J. Food Prot., Vol. 68, No. 5

 Sommers, E. B., J. L. Schoeni, and A. C. L. Wong. 1994. Effect of trisodium phosphate on biofilm and planktonic cells of *Campylo-bacter jejuni, Escherichia coli* O157:H7, *Listeria monocytogenes* and Salmonella typhimurium. Int. J. Food Microbiol. 22:269–276.

- Stepanović, S., I. Ćirković, V. Mijač, and M. Švabić-Vlahović. 2003. Influence of incubation temperature, atmosphere and dynamic conditions on biofilm formation by Salmonella spp. Food Microbiol. 20: 339–343.
- Stepanović, S., I. Ćirković, L. Ranin, and M. Švabić-Vlahović. 2004.
   Biofilm formation by Salmonella spp. and Listeria monocytogenes on plastic surface. Lett. Appl. Microbiol. 38:428–432.
- 32. Ukuku, D. O., and W. F. Fett. 2002. Relationship of cell surface charge and hydrophobicity to strength of attachment of bacteria to cantaloupe rind. *J. Food Prot.* 65:1093–1099.
- Ukuku, D. O., and G. M. Sapers. 2001. Effect of sanitizer treatments on *Salmonella* Stanley attached to the surface of cantaloupe and cell transfer to fresh-cut tissues during cutting practices. *J. Food Prot.* 64:1286–1291.
- 34. Zogaj, X., M. Nimtz, M. Rohde, W. Bokranz, and U. Römling. 2001. The multicellular morphotypes of *Salmonella typhimurium* and *Escherichia coli* produce cellulose as the second component of the extracellular matrix. *Mol. Microbiol.* 39:1452–1463.